

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | O. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/771,476 02/04/2004 | | 02/04/2004 | Oleg S. Fishman | 1946-315 CIP2 | 2854 | | |
| 31855 | 7590 | 04/18/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| PHILIP O. I | POST | | HOANG, TU BA | | | | |
| INDEL, INC. PO BOX 157 | | | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| RANCOCAS | | 3073 | 3742 | | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/771,47 | 0 | FISHMAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| | omoo Avaon oullillary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | La MAN INO DATE -SALia | Tu Ba Hoa | | 3742 | Idroop | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ Re | sponsive to communication(s) file | d on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ Thi | is action is FINAL. | b)⊠ This action is n | on-final. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla | | | | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 04 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of 3) Information | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | O-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/771,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of Fishman et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,690,710) in view of Betz et al (US 5,425,047). Fishman et al ('710) disclosed and claimed (i.e., claims 1-20) all features recited in claims 1-20 of the instant application except for a means for rotating the crucible. It is noted that the use of such means or devices for rotating and/or tilting the crucible in induction furnaces is so well known in the art, as evidence, Betz et al shows such means for rotating as well as tilting the crucible 3 in an induction furnace (Figure 1) in the form of rocking arms disposed on both sides and arranged parallel to the plane of rotation of the crucible axis. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Fishman et al ('710) such means for rotating/tilting the crucible as taught by Betz et al in order to selectively rotate or tilt for discharging the melt as well as stirring the melt.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Fishman et al (US 6,393,044), Hugo et al (US 6,101,212), and Sawada et al (US 4,330,698).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Ba Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-fri from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,476 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

April 14, 2005